Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/058,507	BODMER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tim Heitbrink	1722	

All Participants:

(1) *Tim Heitbrink*.

(2) *David Spaw*.

Status of Application: *pending*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *11 February 2004*

Time: *4:30*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
1,3,4,5,6,8

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Spaw agreed to the changes to the claims in order to put the claims in proper idiomatic English and to more clearly set forth applicant's invention.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. ~~The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.~~ See above.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)